	Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 File	d 02/11/21	Page 1 of 29	Page ID #:29
1 2	TRACY L. WILKISON Acting United States Attorney BRANDON FOX			
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division			
4	JOSEPH O. JOHNS (Cal. Bar No. 14452 Assistant United States Attorney	24)		
5	Chief, Environmental and Community 1300 United States Courthouse	Safety C:	rimes Secti	on
6	312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012			
7	Telephone: (213) 894-4536 Facsimile: (213) 534-5400			
8	E-mail: joseph.johns@usdoj SONIA W. NATH (DC Bar No. 997095)	.gov		
9	Special Assistant United States Att Associate Chief Counsel for Enforce			
10	U.S. Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Ave. WO31- Silver Spring, MD 20903	-4568		
11	Telephone: (301) 796-8708 E-mail: sonia.nath@fda.hhs.c	1011		
12		JO V		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	DISTRICT	COURT	
15	FOR THE CENTRAL DIS			
16			:21-cr-0002	
17	Plaintiff,		EEMENT FOR	
18	v.			Y CONSULTANTS,
19	HEMATOLOGY ONCOLOGY CONSULTANTS,	<u> </u>		
20	a California General Partnership,			
21	Defendant.			
22				
23	1. This constitutes the plea	agreeme:	nt between	HEMATOLOGY
24	ONCOLOGY CONSULTANTS, GP ("defendar	nt") and	the United	States
25	Attorney's Office for the Central I)istrict (of Californ	nia ("the USAO")
26	in the above-captioned case. This	Agreemen	t is limite	ed to the USAO
27				
28				

and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

1

2

24

3 Defendant understands and agrees that this Agreement is 2. part of a "package deal" in which the disposition of the case against 4 5 defendant is tied to and conditioned on the disposition of cases 6 against two other defendants, namely, Mark Goldstein and Stanley 7 Rossman. Accordingly, defendant and the USAO agree that this 8 Agreement and the obligations it creates will not become binding on 9 the USAO or defendant unless and until: (a) defendant executes this 10 Agreement and enters a guilty plea in accordance with this Agreement; 11 and (b) Mark Goldstein and Stanley Rossman execute their respective 12 plea agreements with the USAO and enter guilty pleas in accordance with those agreements. Defendant acknowledges that an authorized 13 14 representative of defendant has discussed with defendant's attorney, 15 and carefully considered, the possible advantages and disadvantages 16 to defendant of entering into this Agreement as part of the package 17 deal; defendant is entering into this Agreement as part of the package deal freely and voluntarily because this Agreement and the 18 19 package deal is believed to be in defendant's best interests; and 20 defendant is not entering into this Agreement as part of the package 21 deal because of threats, coercion, or other undue influence by the 22 USAO or by the other defendants who are part of the package deal, 23 their counsel, or anyone acting on their behalf.

RULE 11(c)(1)(C) AGREEMENT

Defendant understands that this agreement is entered into
 pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C).
 Accordingly, defendant understands that, if the Court determines that
 it will not accept this agreement, absent a breach of this agreement

Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 Filed 02/11/21 Page 3 of 29 Page ID #:31

by defendant prior to that determination and whether or not defendant elects to withdraw any guilty plea entered pursuant to this agreement, this agreement will, with the exception of paragraph 18, be rendered null and void and both defendant and the USAO will be relieved of their obligations under this agreement. Defendant agrees, however, that if defendant breaches this agreement prior to the Court's determination whether or not to accept this agreement, the breach provisions of this agreement, paragraphs 24 and 25, will control, with the result that defendant will not be able to withdraw any guilty plea entered pursuant to this agreement, the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement, and the Court's failure to follow any recommendation or request regarding sentence set forth in this agreement will not provide a basis for defendant to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

4.

Defendant agrees to:

a. At the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to Count One of the Information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A, or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with Receiving Prescription Drugs Imported Contrary to Law, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 545.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

b. Not contest facts agreed to in this Agreement.

c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing containedin this Agreement.

26 d. Appear for all court appearances and obey any other27 ongoing court order in this matter.

28

18

19

21

22

23

24

1 Agree that all court appearances, including е. arraignment, change of plea hearing, and sentencing hearing, may 2 proceed by video-teleconference ("VTC") or telephone, if VTC is not 3 reasonably available, so long as such appearances are authorized by 4 5 Order of the Chief Judge 20-043 or another order, rule, or statute. Defendant understands that, under the United States Constitution, the 6 7 United States Code, and the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 8 (including Rules 11, 32, and 43), defendant may have the right to 9 have its representative be physically present at these hearings. Defendant understands that right and, after consulting with counsel, voluntarily agrees to waive it and to proceed remotely. Defense 12 counsel also joins in this consent, agreement, and waiver. Specifically, this agreement includes, but is not limited to, the 13 14 following:

15 Defendant consents under Section 15002(b) of the i. 16 CARES Act to proceed with its change of plea hearing by VTC or 17 telephone, if VTC is not reasonably available.

ii. Defendant consents under Section 15002(b) of the CARES Act to proceed with its sentencing hearing by VTC or telephone, if VTC is not reasonably available. 20

iii. Defendant consents under 18 U.S.C. § 3148 and Section 15002(b) of the CARES Act to proceed with any hearing regarding alleged violations of the conditions of pretrial release by VTC or telephone, if VTC is not reasonably available.

25 f. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be 26 excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing 27 Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not 28 within the scope of this Agreement.

g. Be truthful at all times with the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office, all federal agencies, and the
 Court.

 h. Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of ability to pay such assessments.

FORFEITURE AND FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY

5. Defendant further agrees:

a. To the entry as part of defendant's guilty plea of a money judgment of forfeiture against defendant in the amount of \$650,000, which sum defendant admits was derived from proceeds traceable to the violations described in the factual basis.
Defendant understands that the money judgment of forfeiture is part of defendant's sentence, and is separate from any fines or restitution that may be imposed by the Court.

b. With respect to any criminal forfeiture ordered as a result of this plea agreement, defendant waives (1) the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcements of the forfeiture sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment; (2) all constitutional and statutory challenges to the forfeiture (including by direct appeal, habeas corpus or any other means); and (3) all constitutional, legal and equitable defenses to the money judgment of forfeiture in any proceeding on any grounds including, without limitation, that the money judgment of forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. Defendant acknowledges that entry of the money judgment of forfeiture is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by

Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 Filed 02/11/21 Page 6 of 29 Page ID #:34

the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), at the time the Court accepts defendant's guilty plea.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

6. The USAO agrees to:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a. Not contest facts agreed to in this Agreement.

Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained b. in this agreement and affirmatively recommend to the Court that it impose sentence in accordance with paragraphs 15 and 16 of this agreement.

с. Not pursue any additional criminal charges against defendant for violations of federal law arising out of defendant's conduct described in the agreed-to factual basis set forth below. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to prosecute defendant for any other past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this Agreement.

PARTNERSHIP AUTHORIZATION

7. Defendant represents that it is authorized to enter into this Agreement. On or before the date of entry of its plea of quilty, defendant shall provide to the USAO and the Court a notarized legal document certifying that defendant is authorized to enter into 22 and comply with all of the provisions of this Agreement. Such partnership resolution shall designate a partnership representative 23 authorized to take these actions, enter a plea on defendant's behalf, and indicate that all partnership formalities for such authorizations have been observed.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES AND APPLICABILITY

8. This Agreement shall bind defendant, its successor partnership or corporation, if any, and any other person or entity that assumes the liabilities contained herein ("successor-ininterest"). No change in name, change in partnership or individual control, business reorganization, change in ownership, merger, change of legal status, sale or purchase of assets, or similar action shall alter defendant's responsibilities under this Agreement. Defendant shall not engage in any action to seek to avoid the obligations and conditions set forth in this Agreement.

RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

9. The parties stipulate and agree that under principles of corporate liability and respondeat superior, as these principles apply in this case, defendant is liable for the actions of its agents and employees. <u>New York Central and Hudson River R.R. v. United</u> <u>States</u>, 212 U.S. 481, 495 (1909); <u>United States v. Beusch</u>, 596 F.2d 871, 877-878 (9th Cir. 1979); <u>United States v. Hilton Hotels</u> <u>Corporation</u>, 467 F.2d 1000, 1004-1007 (9th Cir. 1972).

NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

20 10. Defendant understands that for defendant to be quilty of 21 the crime of Receiving Prescription Drugs Imported Contrary to Law, 22 in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 545, the following must be true: (1) 23 Merchandise, in particular prescription drugs, had been brought into the United States contrary to 21 U.S.C. § 331(d), and (2) an employee 24 25 of the defendant, operating within the scope of his or her 26 employment, and motivated, at least in part, by an intent to benefit defendant, received and bought the prescription drugs knowing that 27 28 they had been brought into the United States contrary to law.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

11. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose against a corporation or partnership for the crime of Receiving Prescription Drugs Imported Contrary to Law, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 545, is: five years of probation; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$400.

SUSPENSION/REVOCATION/DEBARMENT

12. Defendant understands that if defendant holds any regulatory license or permit, the conviction in this case may result in the suspension or revocation of such license and/or permit. By this Agreement, the USAO makes no representation or promise concerning suspension or debarment of defendant from contracting with the United States or with any office, agency, or department thereof. Suspension and debarment of organizations convicted under various federal regulatory protection and criminal statutes is a discretionary administrative action solely within the authority of the federal contracting agencies.

FACTUAL BASIS

21 13. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the 22 offense to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant 23 and the USAO agree to the statement of facts attached hereto as 24 Exhibit B to this Agreement and agree that this statement of facts is 25 sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in 26 this Agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set 27 forth in Paragraph 15 below but is not meant to be a complete

8

recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

SENTENCING FACTORS AND AGREED-UPON SENTENCE

14. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's
sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing
Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures
under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set
forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the
Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only.

10 15. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable 11 Sentencing Guidelines factors, as directed by U.S.S.G. §§ 8C2.3 and 12 8C2.4:

Base Offense Level:	20	[U.S.S.G. § 2T3.1(a)(1) 2T4.1; Application Note
Acceptance of Responsibility:	-2	2] [U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b)]
Total Offense Level:	18	

Guideline Base Fine:

\$600,000

16. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3561, 3571(d), 18 U.S.C. 3013(a)(2), Chapter 8 of the Sentencing Guidelines and the factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3553(a) and 3572, including the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant, the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, and to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, the parties agree that defendant shall be sentenced as follows:

9

1 Probation: Defendant shall be sentenced to probation a. for a term of one year with standard conditions as set forth in 2 General Order No. 20-04. 3

Criminal Fine: In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d), b. Defendant shall pay a total criminal fine of \$600,000. All payments shall be made by certified check or wire transfer to the Clerk of the 6 United States District Court for the Central District of California. The parties stipulate and agree that the alternative fine provision in 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d) authorizes the imposition of a fine in the 10 amount to which the parties have agreed.

с. Criminal Forfeiture: The entry of a money judgment of forfeiture against defendant in the amount of \$650,000, which sum defendant admits was derived from proceeds traceable to the violations described in the factual basis. Defendant understands that the money judgment of forfeiture is part of defendant's sentence, and is separate from any fines or restitution that may be imposed by the Court. Defendant agrees to pay and satisfy the \$650,000 amount within ten days of entry of the money judgment of forfeiture.

d. Special Assessment: Defendant shall pay a total special assessment of \$400.

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

23 Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant 17. gives up the following rights: 24

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

27

28

The right to persist in a plea of not guilty. a. b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.

c. The right to be represented by counsel at trial.Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right tobe represented by counsel at every other stage of the proceeding.

d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.

f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charge, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.

g. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

18. Having been fully advised by defendant's attorney regarding application of the statute of limitations to the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty, defendant hereby knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives, relinquishes, and gives up: (a) any right that defendant might have not to be prosecuted for the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty because of the expiration of the statute of limitations for the offense prior to the filing of the information alleging the offense; and (b) any defense, claim, or argument defendant is pleading guilty is barred by the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial violation.

1

2

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal 19. based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

20. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes the sentence specified within paragraphs 15 above, defendant gives up the right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

21. The USAO agrees that, provided the Court imposes the sentence specified in paragraphs 15 above, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

15 Defendant agrees that if, after entering a guilty plea 22. 16 pursuant to this Agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds 17 in withdrawing defendant's guilty plea on any basis other than a 18 claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was 19 involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its 20 obligations under this Agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to 21 pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this Agreement, 22 then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this Agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of preindictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such

action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this Agreement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

23. This Agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

8 24. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the 9 signature of this Agreement and execution of all required 10 certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant 11 United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of 12 defendant's obligations under this Agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this Agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations 13 14 are material, a single breach of this Agreement is sufficient for the 15 USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have 16 cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. 17 If the USAO declares this Agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously 18 19 entered guilty plea pursuant to this Agreement, defendant will not be 20 able to withdraw the quilty plea, (b) the USAO will be relieved of 21 all its obligations under this Agreement, in particular, the USAO: 22 (i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing 23 and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crimes to which defendant has pleaded quilty; and (ii) will no 24 25 longer be bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and 26 will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that the USAO would otherwise have been obligated 27 to dismiss or not to criminally prosecute pursuant to this Agreement, 28

1

and (c) the USAO will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.

25. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this Agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this Agreement, then:

a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute oflimitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of thisAgreement and the filing commencing any such action.

b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this Agreement.

c. Defendant agrees that: (i) any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (if such a hearing occurred prior to the breach); (ii) the agreed to factual basis statement in this Agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, shall be admissible against defendant in any such action against defendant, and defendant waives and gives up any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

26

1

COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

26. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts, sentencing factors, or sentencing. Defendant understands that the Court will determine the facts, sentencing factors, and other considerations relevant to sentencing and will decide for itself whether to accept and agree to be bound by this agreement.

10 27. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are 11 free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information 12 to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing 13 14 Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue 15 on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing 16 Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not 17 error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the 18 calculations and sentence referenced in paragraphs 15 and 16 are 19 consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual 20 21 information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the 22 23 facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts 24 25 agreed to in this Agreement.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

27 28. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein,
28 there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO

Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 Filed 02/11/21 Page 16 of 29 Page ID #:44

and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court. // // // //

ł

1	PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING
2	29. The parties agree that this Agreement will be considered
3	part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the
4	entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.
5	AGREED AND ACCEPTED
6	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
7	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	TRACY L. WILKISON
9	Acting United States Attorney
10	BRANDON D. FOX
11	Chief, Criminal Division
12	
13	Joseph O. Johns 2/2/21 JOSEPH O. JOHNS Date
14	Assistant United States Attorney
15	
16	MARK GOLDSTEIN, M.D. 1-19-2021 Date
17	Authorized Representative for Defendant Hematology Oncology
18	Consultants
19	A little in a light
20	STANLEY ROSSMAN, M.D. DI 19/2021
21	Authorized Representative for Defendant Hematology Oncology
22	Consultants
23	x = 10 100
23	$\frac{2}{148}$
24 25	DOUGLAS A. AXEL Date Date
	Oncology Consultants
26	
27	
28	
	17

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

This Agreement has been read to me in its entirety. As the 3 authorized representative of the partnership defendant, I have had enough time to review and consider this Agreement, and I have 4 5 carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with Hematology 6 Oncology Consultants' attorney. On behalf of the partnership 7 defendant, I understand the terms of this Agreement, and I 8 voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with 9 Hematology Oncology Consultants' attorney, and its attorney has 10 advised me of the partnership defendant's rights, of possible 11 pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might 12 be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors 13 set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines 14 provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Agreement. 15 No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me or the partnership defendant other than those contained in 16 17 this Agreement. No one has threatened or forced me or the 18 partnership defendant in any way to enter into this Agreement. I am 19 satisfied with the representation of Hematology Oncology Consultants' 20 attorney in this matter, and the partnership defendant is pleading 21 quilty because it is quilty of the charges and wishes to take 22 advantage of the promises set forth in this Agreement, and not for 23 any other reason.

HAAN nk

MARK GOLDSTEIN Authorized Representative for Defendant Hematology Oncology Consultants

1-19-2021

Date

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

2	I am Hematology Oncology Consultants' attorney. I have
3	carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this Agreement with
4	my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of its rights, of
5	possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses
6	that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing
7	factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing
8	Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this
9	Agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or
10	representations of any kind have been made to my client other than
11	those contained in this Agreement; no one has threatened or forced my
12	client in any way to enter into this Agreement; my client's decision
13	to enter into this Agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and
14	the factual basis set forth in this Agreement is sufficient to
15	support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this Agreement.
16	
17	NA NX 1/28/01
18	DOUGLAS A. AXEL Date Date
19	Oncology Consultants
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	19

	Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 File	ed 02/11/21 Page 20 of 29 Page ID #:48
	EXHIB	IT A
1		
2		FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
3		2/8/2021
4		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY:J ^B DEPUTY
5		
6		
7		
8		DISTRICT COURT
9		STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR No. 2:21-cr-00026 -SVW
11	Plaintiff,	<u>I N F O R M A T I O N</u>
12 13 14 15	v. HEMATOLOGY ONCOLOGY CONSULTANTS, a California General Partnership, MARK GOLDSTEIN, and STANLEY ROSSMAN,	<pre>[18 U.S.C. § 545: Receiving Prescription Drugs Imported Contrary to Law; 21 U.S.C. §§ 331(c) and 333(a)(1): Receipt of Misbranded Drugs in Interstate Commerce and Proffered Delivery Thereof For Pay; 18 U.S.C. §§ 545 and 982 and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c):</pre>
16 17 18	Defendants.	Criminal Forfeiture]
19	The Acting United States Atto	orney charges:
20	COUI	NT ONE
21	[18 U.S	.C. § 545]
22	[Defendant HEMATOLOG	Y ONCOLOGY CONSULTANTS]
23	On or about June 2008 through	n on or about October 20, 2011, in
24	Los Angeles County, within the Cer	ntral District of California, and
25	elsewhere, defendant HEMATOLOGY ON	NCOLOGY CONSULTANTS, a California
26	General Partnership, knowingly rec	ceived and bought merchandise,
27	namely, misbranded and unapproved	new drugs, after their importation,
28	knowing the merchandise to have be	een imported or brought into the

Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 Filed 02/11/21 Page 21 of 29 Page ID #:49

United States contrary to law. Specifically, defendant HEMATOLOGY ONCOLOGY CONSULTANTS received and bought misbranded and unapproved new drugs, namely Altuzan, knowing that such merchandise had been imported into the United States without being listed for commercial distribution, as required by Title 21, United States Code, Section 352(o), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 331(a), and without being approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration, as required by Title 21, United States Code, Section 355(a), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 331(d).

1	COUNT TWO
2	[21 U.S.C. §§ 331(c), 333(a)(1)]
3	[Defendants GOLDSTEIN and ROSSMAN]
4	From on or about June 2008 through on or about October 20, 2011,
5	in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and
6	elsewhere, defendants MARK GOLDSTEIN and STANLEY ROSSMAN received in
7	interstate commerce, and caused the receipt in interstate commerce,
8	of misbranded drugs, namely, misbranded and unapproved Altuzan, and
9	delivered and proffered the delivery thereof for pay, and caused the
10	delivery and caused the proffered delivery thereof for pay.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

5

6

7

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE

[18 U.S.C. §§ 982(a)(2)(B) and 545]

1. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is hereby given that the United States of America will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(B) and 545, and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), in the event of any of the defendant's conviction of the offense set forth in Count One of this Information.

2. Any defendant so convicted shall forfeit to the United States the following:

(a) All right, title, and interest in any and all property,real or personal, constituting, or derived from, any proceedsobtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such offense;

(b) Any and all merchandise introduced into the United States in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 545, or the value thereof; and

(c) To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraphs (a) and (b).

3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any defendant so convicted shall forfeit substitute property, up to the total value of the property described in the preceding paragraph if, as the result of any act or omission of said defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any portion thereof: (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred,

4

Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 Filed 02/11/21 Page 24 of 29 Page ID #:52

sold to or deposited with a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWO

[18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(7) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)]

Pursuant to Rule 32.2(a), Fed. R. Crim. P., notice is
 hereby given that the United States will seek forfeiture as part of
 any sentence, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section
 982(a)(7) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), in the
 event of any defendant's conviction of the offense set forth in Count
 Two of this Information.

Any defendant so convicted shall forfeit to the United
 States of America the following:

(a) All right, title, and interest in any and all property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from the gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense of conviction; and

(b) To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraph (a).

3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b), any defendant so convicted shall forfeit substitute property, up to the total value of the property described in the preceding paragraph if, as a result of any act or omission of said defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any portion thereof (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred, sold to or deposited with a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; (d) has been substantially diminished in

6

	Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 Filed 02/11/21 Page 26 of 29 Page ID #:54
1	value; or (e) has been commingled with other property that cannot be
2	divided without difficulty.
3	
4	TRACY L. WILKISON Acting United States Attorney
5	Acting onited states Actorney
6	BRANDON D. FOX
7	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
8	JOSEPH O. JOHNS
9	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Environmental and Community
10	Safety Crimes Section
11	SONIA W. NATH Special Assistant United States
12	Attorney
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

EXHIBIT B

FACTUAL BASIS IN SUPPORT OF PLEA AGREEMENT

At all times material and relevant to the information filed in this matter:

Hematology Oncology Consultants ("defendant") was a
 California General Partnership located in West Hills and Van Nuys,
 California.

2. Mark Goldstein and Stanley Rossman were oncologists who were partners in defendant, either in their individual capacity and/or through a professional corporation.

3. From approximately 2008 through 2011, defendant purchased discounted oncology drugs from Montana Healthcare Solutions, a business that sourced drugs from foreign markets. The foreign market drugs violated the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. § 301 <u>et seq.</u>, insofar as they were, *inter alia*, (a) misbranded under 21 U.S.C. § 352(o) because they were not listed with the FDA for commercial distribution in the United States; (b) unapproved new drugs under 21 U.S.C. §§ 321(p), 355(a); and (c) brought into the United States in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 331(c) (receipt in interstate commerce and the proffered delivery for pay or otherwise of a misbranded drug) and 331(d) (introduction into interstate commerce of an unapproved new drug).

4. In March 2009, a Special Agent from the FDA's Office of Criminal Investigations ("FDA-OCI") visited defendant's office and spoke with the office manager who said she could not remember purchasing drugs from the supplier under investigation, and only purchased drugs from "approved distributors."

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

5. From June 2008 through October 2010, defendant purchased over \$1,000,000 of drugs from Montana Healthcare Solutions. In October 2010, Montana Healthcare Solutions was acquired by Canada Drugs, Ltd. ("Canada Drugs"). On April 13, 2018, Canada Drugs pleaded guilty to illegal sales of misbranded and counterfeit prescription drugs that were unapproved in the United States.

6. Defendant's office manager placed the orders for the foreign market prescription drugs with Montana Healthcare Solutions in part because they were lower in price than the prescription drugs available from domestic suppliers. The office manager - and thereby, defendant - knew that at least some of the drugs purchased from Montana Healthcare Solutions were illegal foreign market prescription drugs.

14 Many of the invoices from Montana Healthcare Solutions 7. 15 indicated that the drugs were meant for foreign markets, such as 16 Turkey or the European Union, and some of the invoices identified the 17 drugs by different names. For instance, in an invoice to defendant dated March 17, 2011, Montana Healthcare Solutions listed one of the 18 19 items purchased as "Altuzan (bevacizumab) (known as Avastin in the 20 U.S.) (Refrigerated) - Turkey- 400mg/16ml - 1 vial." The invoice 21 provided that the product would be shipped to defendant at its 22 business address in the Central District of California. The drug 23 "Altuzan" was not approved by the FDA, and was not listed with the FDA as a drug meant for commercial distribution in the United States. 24

8. Defendant's last purchase from Montana HealthCare Solutions
 was on October 20, 2011. In February 2012, Montana Healthcare
 Solutions notified defendant that some of the Avastin it had shipped
 to defendant during the period of October 5, 2011 to October 21, 2011

Case 2:21-cr-00026-SVW Document 9 Filed 02/11/21 Page 29 of 29 Page ID #:57

(invoice numbers 60645 and 61417) likely consisted of counterfeit Avastin that did not contain the medicine's active ingredient, bevacizumab.